

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/751,716	01/05/2004	Maarten Joost De Mol Van Otterloo	03530.000004.	2259	
5514	7590 07/10/2006		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			PAIK, STEVE S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/751,716	DE MOL VAN OTTERLOO, MAARTEN JOOST	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Steven S. Paik	2876	

	Steven S. Paik	2876		
7	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspon	dence add	ress
THE REPLY	FILED <u>26 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANG	CE.	
1. ⊠ The re this ap places a Req	ply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Noplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend as the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeauest for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The periods:	Notice of Appeal. T ment, affidavit, or o I fee) in complianc	o avoid aba other eviden e with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	ne period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) Th	ne period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from	he mailing date of th	e final rejection	on.
	kaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W WO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	HEN THE FIRST RE	PLT WAS FI	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of have been file under 37 CFF set forth in (b)	f time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 3 ed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rany earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	g amount of the fee. reply originally set in	The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
filing that is a Notice	lotice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 he Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41. ce of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set	37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMEN				
(a) 🔲	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search			ecause
(c)	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mat appeal; and/or	erially reducing or	simplifying f	the issues for
	They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.	
. —	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
_	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice o	f Non-Compliant A	mendment ((PTOL-324).
	cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	anarata timak filo	d amandma	nt cancaling the
non-al	y proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a s llowable claim(s).			
how th The st	urposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq\) will not be entered, or ne new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. tatus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	b) 🔼 Will be enter	eo ano an e	explanation of
	(s) allowed: <u>1-28 and 32-39</u> .			
	(s) objected to: (s) rejected: 29-31.			
	(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT	OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
becau	ffidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of use applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the ot earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The af entere	ffidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but pred because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections uning a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or a	appellant fai	ls to provide a
	affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claim			
	FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	is after entry is bei	ow or accor	
11. 🛛 The r	request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the app Continuation Sheet.	lication in condition	n for allowar	nce because:
12. 🔲 Note	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)		
13. 🗌 Othe	r:	_		
			Paik Examiner	
		Art Unit		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument of claims 29-31that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).